Approved For Release 2003/12/04: CIA-RDP81-00706R000200030006-8

Points of Disagreement
With OSI

25X1

25X1

1. Staff Study

- Para e. The establishment of central coordination of "an over-all program" by an outside agency should not be limited just to scientific literature.
- Para f. Action by the NSC should be on all foreign publications for intelligence purposes, not just on Russian (scientific).
- Para g. The present responsibility for all the functions mentioned by OSI lies centrally in FDD, according to Regulation If they aren't doing the job that OSI wants done, that is a different consideration.

Para h. Putting indexing etc. in CIA Library, exploitation in the research office, and translation in a third office does not result in "the integration of responsibilities" and further centralization. It would also require linguists in three places and would NOT result in saving personnel and facilities (Para i). It also seems to apply only to scientific publications, which would therefore be separated off from other foreign publications.

2. Recommendations of Staff Study

- a. We non-concur in transmittal of memo to NSC applying just to foreign scientific publications.
- b. We non-concur in the OSI plan for splitting off Russian scientific literature from FDD (and from all other foreign literature) and re-allocating the responsibilities to three separate offices. This isn't centralization!